

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_97999</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>PROLACTIN-SECRETING PITUITARY MACROADENOMA PRESENTING WITH HORMONAL DEFICIENCIES CHARACTERISED BY HYPOPITUITARISM AND NEUROGENIC DIABETES INSIPIDUS: a case report and review.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	YES  YES  YES YES YES  NO-WE NEED MORE REFERENCES WE NEED MORE RECENT REFERENCES WE NEED A UNIQUE WAY TO PUT THE REFERENCES ACOORDING THE RULES OF THE JOURNAL	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	YES	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	NICE ARTICLE=PLEASE ADD MORE AND MORE DETAILED CONCLUSIONS PLEASE ADD THN INNOVATIVE ELEMENTS OF THIS ARTICLE	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nikolaos Syrmos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</b>