

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_75664
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF THE EFFECTS OF ANCHOR BORROWERS PROGRAMME ON RICE FARMING IN BENUE STATE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Empirical research

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Please highlight the results of the 'red mark' reviewers comments.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	References need to be added, especially from studies that apply to the needs of this paper. They need this in order to enrich research and comparisons with case studies in various developing countries that have an agricultural sector base and Indonesia's leading commodities such as rice. Then, what is the author's theoretical basis? The reviewers noted that this study was empirically based and requires testing that based on supported hypotheses. Finally, the authors do not include the weaknesses of the study. Though this is important as an agenda for the development of future studies. Reference sections proposed by reviewers are mandatory.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The title needs to be shortened so that it does not cause double perception and is easy for future readers to understand.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Erwin Kurniawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Mulawarman, Indonesia