Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	**South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_SAJSSE_97800**
Title of the Manuscript:	**DOMINATION AND LEGITIMATION IN THE STRUCTURE OF THE VIRTUAL MASSAGE INDUSTRY DUALITY**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. **Is the manuscript important for scientific community?** (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. **Is the title of the article suitable?** (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. **Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?** 4. **Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?** 5. **Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?** 6. **Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.** **(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)**	**1. Yes, It is crucial for researchers to provide information for further research activities.** **2. Yes, It is moderately suitable.** **3. Yes, It is moderately articulated.** **4. Yes, It is moderately appropriate.** **5. Yes, It is moderately accepted.** **6. Yes, it is sufficient but needs to be revisited by including recent empirical results.** ***Memo: please look at the comments seriously so that the researcher will revisit the paper in line with the comments to maintain the credibility of the journal.***	
Minor REVISION comments 1. **Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?**	**-It is moderately suitable, even if it needs a minor correction.**	
Optional/General comments	**-It is preferable to reconsider analysis methods and referencing styles.**	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Yenehun Taye Engida**
Department, University & Country	**Dire Dawa University, Ethiopia**