

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_98476
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantifying the Environmental Impact of Standard Gauge Railway (SGR) on Land Cover Changes along the Nairobi-Kiambu Corridor: A Geospatial and Field-Based Investigation
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. This may be helpful to the execution authority to keep the all aspects of project in proper way and damage ecosystem in a minimum way. yes time period of say 2016 to 2019 not mention anywhere in the abstract. (3.6% of what?) Result too long, if possible may be concise, the work is good, shown in the methodology and output shown in the result. yes yes.	
Minor REVISION comments	yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? Optional/General comments	Figure resolution not proper, it should be readable.(Fig.2) Font colour in manuscript should be uniform Increase in Built up area is near station area or through out buffer zone not mention. Table 8 flooding happen, but what is the reason(may be ignore as mention in following section) In 2.5 buffer zone, crop land may be suggested or tree plantation to absorb noise pollution.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ashish Laxman Guruji
Department, University & Country	The M. S. University of Baroda, India