

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_98780
Title of the Manuscript:	MODELLING AND OPTIMIZATION OF NATURAL GAS DEHYDRATION SYSTEM USING TRIETHYLENE GLYCOL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p><b>Yes</b> <b>Not Suitable, Title of the article should be :</b> Simulation And Optimization of Natural Gas Dehydration Using Triethylene Glycol <b>Yes article abstract written comprehensive manner But need some qualitative results based for better clarification. In the abstract Section avoid short form of any description such as TEG.</b> <b>Some points needs correction such as literature review and Result and Section should be modified</b> <b>Yes , Correct</b> <b>No, Need with recent manner at least past 10 years from current</b> <b>1. In the abstract section qualitative based result were absent</b> <b>2. Keywords should be in alphabetically order, in brief and required only 5</b> <b>3. Use proper citation of paper in the succeeding order in the literature review section which were not presented in a good manner some citations were absent found please check carefully.</b> <b>4. Abbreviation and nomenclature should be required before introduction</b> <b>5. Figures should be made in the high resolution manner and Figure 1, 2 &amp; 3 should be clarified not accepted in the photo format.</b> <b>6. why not used other substance and compare except TEG</b> <b>6. Bullet points should be used in the numbering system.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Some highlights of the manuscript required 2. Formatting of letter required in the whole manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Grammatical mistake and the papers needs language editing 2. Comparative data by the different researchers missing 3. References should be needed in the journal format and also needed in more with latest articles</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yogesh Agrawal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Sagar Institute of Research &amp; Technology, India</b>