

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_98277
Title of the Manuscript:	INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN UGANDA (2005-2020); AN AUTOREGRESSIVE DISTRIBUTED LAG MODEL ANALYSIS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The study is important for scientific community; it aimed at investigating the effect of infrastructural development on Economic Growth in Uganda. 2. The title is unsuitable; it is preferred to be written again. Please see below the suggested title if you like. 3. The abstract is comprehensive; it shows the aim, the data used to achieve the aim of the study, the tool used, and the findings. The abstract should be written as paragraph, not by using titles, e.g., Aim, Place and Duration of Study.... 4. The structure of the paper is ok, but I see that the introduction is too long and a number of paragraphs are suitable for the literature review in part 2.0. 5. The paper is scientifically correct. It handles all the scientific steps in writing a research paper, e.g., introduction,.....until conclusion and recommendations. 6. The references are sufficient and recent. Please pay attention to the style of writing the references as commented in the paper.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Good, but pay attention to a number of long sentences as commented in the paper	
Optional/General comments	The suggested title: Investigating the effect of infrastructural development on Economic Growth in Uganda by using quarterly data for the period between (2005-2020). Pay more attention to the introduction and the literature review as said above. In general, the paper shows novelty in its subject and data.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nawal Okour
Department, University & Country	AI Nawal Center for Translation and Research, Jordan