

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_98870
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC EVALUATION AND HEPATOPROTECTIVE PROPERTY OF CRUDE AND FRACTIONS OF <i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i> A. Rich. (TILLACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. This is a good piece of preliminary study which will pave the way for identifying hepatoprotective principle of herbal drug. 2. Yes. Correct the spelling of family Tiliaceae Change overall font in Running format 3. No. Abstract should be comprehensive without side headings yes Yes Yes In materials and methods, grouping of animals and dose received is not clear. Hence, to make it legible, values can be given in table.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need to check typographic error which is highlighted in yellow colour. • <i>In vivo</i> is two words should be written in italics. • Leaves taken for extraction are fresh or dried? It has to be mentioned clearly In materials and methods. • In Results and discussion. Values or given in mg/kg. This can be converted in to g/kg. for eg.5000mg/kg can be returned as 5g/kg. 	
Optional/General comments	Cold extraction is a crude method which is not used for quantifying the extractive value. Soxhlet extraction will be helpful to identify the extractive value quantitatively.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. Kamalam
Department, University & Country	PSGR Krishnammal College For Women, Bharathiar University, India