

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_98870
Title of the Manuscript:	PHARMACOGNOSTIC EVALUATION AND HEPATOPROTECTIVE PROPERTY OF CRUDE AND FRACTIONS OF <i>Triumfetta cordifolia</i> A. Rich. (TILLACEAE)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	YES	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	YES	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	PERFECT	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	GOOD	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	YES IT IS CORRECT	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	SUFFICIENT AS LATEST ARTICLES ARE ALSO PRESENT IN IT AND IT WOULD BE MORE BETTER IF WE ADD REVIEW ARTICLES ALSO	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	GOOD AND STANDARD BUT NEED POLISHING	
Optional/General comments	ALL THE VERY BEST FOR FUTURE RESEARCH WORK AND I PERSONALLY FEEL THE INTENSITY OF YOUR INTEREST IN PREPARATION OF THE RESEARCH PAPER.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Juluri Krishna Dutta Tejaswi
Department, University & Country	India