

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_99188
Title of the Manuscript:	Soil organic and enzymatic properties as influenced by green manuring and establishment methods in rice-rice system
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes. Rice is one of the most important crops and obtaining large productions within sustainable agriculture represents major objectives for researchers and farmers alike. Therefore, the application of the most appropriate culture systems and sustainable technologies are in the attention of all those involved in the field. In this context, the results obtained by the authors of this work are proof of the researchers' concerns in this direction of study. Yes Yes No. The Material and Methods section needs to be carefully organised by subsection and details regarding the parameters determined, the methods used etc. should be written. As I said before, some details are necessary as regard as the experiment as well as the parameters determined. Yes. But attention should be done to the Instructions for authors when the citations are done. The Reference section needs to be careful revised as well. My observations and recommendations can find on the attached reviewed manuscript.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Delian Elena
Department, University & Country	University of Agronomic Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania