

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98656
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of postharvest life of fruits by application of salicylic acid
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1.The manuscript is important for scientific community, in particular in plant physiology scientist communities. The article may also useful for farmers and fruit traders. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive enough. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. The manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. References are sufficient and recent enough. There are 21 out of 50 (42%) are recent publications (published for the last five years). Additional comments 1. Mention the names of all countries of the universities/laboratories which their researches are used as references. 2. Put the figure in introduction and add some explanation on it. 3. Reference : a. Check all references, some authors are in paper but not the list, conversely, some others are in the list but not in paper. b. Check the writing: 'et al' is used if the authors more than two. Use 'and' if the authors are just two.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	1.The language needs little improvement.	
Optional/General comments	---	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) There is no any ethical issue in this manuscript.	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Iman Santosa
Department, University & Country	Indonesian Environmental Scientists Association, Indonesia