

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98656
Title of the Manuscript:	Enhancement of postharvest life of fruits by application of salicylic acid
Type of the Article	Review article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Salicylic acid, is a plant growth regulator, has been found to produce a wide range of metabolic and physiological responses in plants thereby affecting their growth and development. Therefore, research in the direction of their application in agriculture is important. 2. yes, the title of the article is suitable 3. abstract of the article is comprehensive 4. yes, but subsections It should be written according to the journal format. 5. Yes, the correct method of operation was used in the execution of the experiment. 6. Up-to-date references are not used enough (published within the last 5 years).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-Yes, English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications. - Only spelling errors in the text should be revised by the author -Use punctuation in the appropriate place (, -; -: etc.)	
Optional/General comments	- Keywords are sorted alphabetically. -Modify the references format based on the journal format - Digital Object Identifier (Doi) for all references should be checked and write (We have reviewed them for more examples and brought them to you in the comment section.) -Edit spelling mistakes in the reference list -Write the full name of the journal in reference list	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) No, proper referral has been used	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hadi Khavari
Department, University & Country	Islamic Azad University, Iran