

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_99074
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Hyani date (Phoenix dactylifera L) peels on blood sugar, blood lipids, liver and kidney functions, and inflammation in diabetic rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The dates fruits are composed a daily diet for most people, knowing their benefits, pharmaceuticals compounds are important for traditional herbs medicines and drugs discovery field.</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. Yes</p> <p>4. Yes, only methods sections are missing details of methods for measuring phytochemical compositions, chemical compositions, blood glucose levels, cholesterol, insulin, and inflammatory markers. The discussion of some results is poorly introduced; ex section 3.3.1 the discussion of the results is not provided, section 3.3.2, and section 3.3.4.</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Some references are missing which I mentioned by COMMENTS on the reviewed manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. The manuscript is written with readable and good English language.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Non</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zahraa Kamaz
Department, University & Country	Iraq