

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_98484
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Herbal Germicide-Aided Natural Solution for Women's Hygiene without any side and adverse effect in laboratory
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The theme of article is having good impact over community. The study is focused to replace the available v-wash liquid with herbal extracted solution.</p> <p>The title is not clear. Whether it is laboratory / In vitro assessment. The title as per me as - Development of Herbal Germicide-Aided Natural Solution for Women's Hygiene without any side and adverse effect an In Vitro study. Yes Yes Yes, Reference are recent, but finding a reference in article bit difficult, superscripting the reference in their respective site.</p> <p>The article looks incomplete as it on having Methodology Preparation procedure. Process standardisation. Analysis, results is not been mentioned.</p> <p>If it is hypothetical or plan of study in tittle it should be reflected.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Good	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prasanna S Mathad
Department, University & Country	Parul Institute of Ayurved And Research, Parul University, India