

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_98484
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Herbal Germicide-Aided Natural Solution for Women's Hygiene without any side and adverse effect in laboratory
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes</p> <p>It can be modified by using the term Invitro study instead of laboratory</p> <p>Needs modification gramatically</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but the numbers are missing in the main article. So many references are quoted in between the lines in the article itself.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1. Yes, needs slight changes in tenses used in the Abstract.</p> <p>2. Grammatical error and spelling mistakes need to be rectified before publishing</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>1. Better not to mention "v wash"</p> <p>2. Instead of Health items, hygiene goods etc it could be used as Hygienic products</p> <p>3. No need to mention first to 6th Novelty of the study..... A common heading can be mentioned as novelty of the study and enlist the items there.</p> <p>4. Instead of major and sub major objectives, it would be better to use Primary and secondary Objectives.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manjula K
Department, University & Country	RGUHS, Alva's Ayurveda Medical College, India