

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Botany</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_98484
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of Herbal Germicide-Aided Natural Solution for Women's Hygiene without any side and adverse effect in laboratory
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>In my opinion, manuscript has reasonable scientific merit.</p> <p>The title of the article is appropriate.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>On the whole, the overall structure of the manuscript is reasonably good.</p> <p>In my opinion, manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>References are appropriate.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>English proficiency of the paper is suitable for scientific communications.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ The Current work which attempts Development of herbal based natural solution for women's hygiene in laboratory is appreciable and novel.</li> <li>➤ Introduction part has been neatly presented.</li> <li>➤ Sufficient review of literature has been performed to understand the background work of the proposed research work.</li> <li>➤ Appropriate tools and techniques were followed for extraction and characterization of bio active compounds.</li> <li>➤ Author (s) mentioned that herbal germicide prepared by Various plant parts of <i>Tamarindus indica</i>, <i>Withania somnifera</i>, and <i>Calendula officinalis</i> as active as synthetic germicide.</li> </ul>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Chand Basha</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>KL (Deemed to be University), India</b>