

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOCS_98539
Title of the Manuscript:	Isoconversional and Model-fitting approaches to Kinetic and Thermoanalytic study of Lanthanum oxalate: Nonlinear relationship between kinetic parameters.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajocs.com/index.php/AJOCS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The deep experimental investigation of the Lanthanum oxalate is relevant to fit a kinect model more accurate. 2. Yes. 3. Yes. 4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript can be improved if the author to join the X-ray and DTA results, since they are complementary. 5. Yes, but there are some experimental points that were not explained. 6. Yes.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes. There are some citation mistakes that were highlighted along the text.	
Optional/General comments	There are some questions along the text to improve the results explanations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elisa Pinto da Rocha
Department, University & Country	UFRJ, Brazil