

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_98696
Title of the Manuscript:	Management of Helminthiasis and Virological Outcomes Among HIV coinfecting Under-fives Receiving Health care at Mwananyamala Hospital, Tanzania
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1) Overall, this MS will contribute human-health, especially child ones, in tropical regions, absolutely, although the model area was Tanzania. 2) Nevertheless, the authors should show a definition of the "virological outcomes" here, at just before an end of the part "introduction", because it was consisted of key component of the present MS. 3) Adding to this, they had better change and use the term "materials and methods", instead of the "methodology" as a next part. And, the sub-parts "materials" and "methods" MUST be divided each other. For example, the contents of "A sampling frame of 680 medical records//related to the study's aim were recorded", "This was a retrospective cross//treated with ARV for 22 months (January 2019 to October 2020)" and "The ethical clearance was//collected personal information" belong to the data, namely materials. Hence, these texts were connected and shortened, and a new version.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Could be OK.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Well, no bad	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asa
Department, University & Country	School of Veterinary Medicine, Rakuno Gakuen University, Japan