

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_98290
Title of the Manuscript:	The management of seagrass ecosystem as an attractive object of ecotourism in the coastal areas of Yensawai and Arefi, in Dampier Strait marine protected area, Raja Ampat
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The research aimed at advancing current theory or adapting theory to local conditions and the research aimed to identify the management of seagrass ecosystem as an attractive object of ecotourism in the coastal areas of Yensawai and Arefi, in Dampier Strait marine protected area, Raja Ampat</p> <p>The title is suitable The abstract is comprehensive, acceptable research strategies used.</p> <p>The subsections and the general structure of the manuscript are appropriate. The methodology, writing style, grammar and use of language meet the standard.</p> <p>The manuscript meets the scientific standards.</p> <p>References are sufficiently provided.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The writing style, grammar and use of language meet the standard.	
Optional/General comments	Overall the information presented represents valuable information on the characteristics and ecological interaction in the seagrass ecosystem with the emergence and presence of dugongs that can be developed for the attractive object of marine ecotourism. The results showed that seagrass biodiversity consists of 4 species: <i>Enhalus acroides</i> , <i>Halophila ovalis</i> , <i>Syringodium isoetifolium</i> , and <i>Thalassia hemprichii</i> with the potentials to be utilized for marine ecotourism objects. This placed the current study in better context of the scientific progress of the 21 st century. I hope my comments will facilitate the decision to publish this study in your journal.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdulrasheed Dalhatu
Department, University & Country	Aminu Saleh College of Education, Nigeria