

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |                                                                                 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Journal Name:            | Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology                  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_AJAEES_98740                                                                 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Participation of Diverse Gender in the Production of Goats in Negros Occidental |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                                                       |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Reviewer's comment                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.<br/><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>1. Participation of diverse gender in Negro Occidental in the production of goats are surveyed and the resulting data as a sample are used to be analyzed and to be investigated. The report has a certain economic and social value regarding to change trends of population and growth of gross domestic product in agriculture and in livestock husbandry. In conclusion after the manuscript is revised it is recommended to be published by <i>Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</i>.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is. Better title is "participation of diverse gender in Negro Occidental in the production of goats".</p> <p>3. Yes, it is. The abstract should be written in normal pattern.</p> <p>4. Yes, they are.</p> <p>5. Yes, I do.</p> <p>6. Yes, they are.</p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <p>1. Yes, it is.</p> <p>2. Data in Figures should be presented more clearly in text to show more details. In addition, data in Figure 3 are not easy to be understood and explanations in text also are too short.</p> <p>3. Some words such as majority in text should be proofread since generally it should be more than 50 percent.</p> <p>4. Abstract should be adjusted according to revised main text.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | <p>Except surveying the sexes of goat, sexes of human who are engaged in production of raising goats mentally and physiologically should also be considered to be studied, whether their aims are to meet their living needs or their objectives are to accumulate data for scientific investigation.</p>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                               |

### PART 2:

|                                                     | Reviewer's comment                                                           | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |                                                                                                                                                                               |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |                                                 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Name:                            | <b>Wenfeng Hao</b>                              |
| Department, University & Country | <b>Shenyang Institute of Engineering, China</b> |