

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Advances in Research</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AIR_97998</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Dermatomyositis: A case report and review of clinically diagnosed rare autoimmune disease.</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Case study</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalair.com/index.php/AIR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, its novel and significant study for the scientific community</b> <b>Yes...its suitable</b>  <b>Yes, it is elaborative and comprehensive as per the study</b> <b>All the sections clearly stated the problem of the case study and investigated very systematically as per the available sources</b> <b>Yes, it is valid and needful work to identify such issues in most of the places, due to lack of studies, poor literature available and identifying novel drug is major challenge for the researchers for such incidences</b> <b>Not sufficient but such studies reported as of now very few, so citing reference is difficult and if possible add few more wherever possible and make sure useful discussion for comparing such case studies with valid work previously</b> <b>I have highlighted some of the suggestions, comments and needful work to add and to understand more easily to researchers, justify all the mentioned comments and if possible wherever incorporate systematically</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	It is very good, systematically narrated in all the headings and clearly stated the problem to understand in all the level of researchers. It is acceptable in scholarly communication in international level	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	No, such comments are there, I highlighted and mentioned in the articles, what are the needful action to improvise the case studies	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sharangouda J. Patil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NMKRV College for Women, India</b>