

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_98488
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of nutritional value of Solanum aethiopicum and Solanum melongena fruits consumed in Burkina Faso
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is important as a survey to compile data on the nutrient content of different food. allow consumer to select what he needs. Assessment of micronutrients nutritional value of <i>Solanum aethiopicum</i> and <i>Solanum melongena</i> fruits consumed in Burkina Faso Yes Need editing Some explanation needed Yes It is more valuable to express the result on wet basis, as the fruits consumed fresh Use the equation of Jurgens and Bregendahl (2007) $\text{Nutrient wet basis\%} = \frac{\text{Nutrient dry basis\%} \times \text{Dry matter\%}}{100}$	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need editing	
Optional/General comments	Did the price of such fruits is affordable for poor's in the study area???	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sherief Mohammed Sayed Abd-Allah
Department, University & Country	Assiut University, Egypt