

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_98437
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics and Public Health Implications of Solid Waste Management in Nigeria: A review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Quite good yes The abstract fully describes the idea of the article. Quite good Yes No, need to add recent references The paper gives a valuable review for the water pollution in Economics and Public Health Implications of Solid Waste Management in Nigeria. the following observations should be taken to improve the quality of the paper: 1- Since the transcript is review paper, the literature review for the previous work is poor. Please add new references that have the same idea or close to your work. 2- In the last part of the Introduction, insert some lines for the outline of the paper. 3 - It is more significant to add some statistics data in tables or graphs for waste characterization, waste management techniques, economic impacts of solid waste management as well as the consequences on public health. 4-The conclusion section should be concise a little and to the point and is expected to show how the work advances the field from the present state of knowledge. The author should provide a clear scientific justification for this work in conclusion section and indicate uses and extensions if appropriate. 5- After address the comments, the paper will be represents a good reference for the next researchers in the field.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ihsan Jabbar Hasan
Department, University & Country	Medical Technical Institute, Middle Technical University, Iraq