

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Economics, Management and Trade</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_98437
Title of the Manuscript:	Economics and Public Health Implications of Solid Waste Management in Nigeria: A review
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. Yes, it is  2. yes, it is suitable  3. need to add clear statement regard the methods that used to conduct this review 4. not bad but it can be more professional if summarize the outcomes of literature review in results section ( tables for examples) 5. needs more details and explanation on the way of data collected ( how included & excluded the evidence, from which search engines collected, etc) 6. yes	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Needs edit as there are lots of grammar mistakes ( check the manuscript, I put some comments )	
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amal Ali Mohamed Mukhtad</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Benghazi, Libya</b>