

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98853
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy, safety and tolerability of intralesional vitamin D in the treatment of Libyan cutaneous wart patients
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is standard enough. The manuscript can have a good contribution in the said field.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes, all the subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>Yes, it is.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Manuscript should rectify as per the given comments.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>Yes, Manuscript has been drafted in good English and suitable for scholarly communications but need to construct properly somewhere.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shital Phuse
Department, University & Country	Sant Gadagebaba Amravati University, India