

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reviews in Physics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJR2P_98416
Title of the Manuscript:	STUDIES ON THE OPTICALDISPERSION PARAMETERS AND ELECTRONIC POLARIZABILITY OF CADMIUM SULPHIDE THIN FILM.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajr2p.com/index.php/AJR2P/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, it's interesting research for development of science. Yes, it is suitable between the title and substansive of research The abstract should be revised Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is good, but need more improvement for result explanation	
Optional/General comments	For result and discussion, the author need to explain more deeply about the result, not only present the data. But, data should be explained well with the literature. One of the technique, the finding also can be compared with the previous result by another researchers. The comment also can be found at the attachment manuscript.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rendy Muhamad Iqbal
Department, University & Country	University of Palangka Raya, Indonesia