

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJMAH_98993</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>The Efficacy of Saline Nasal Irrigation in Chronic Rhinosinusitis: A Single Centre Experience</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Chronic rhinosinusitis is a major cause of morbidity throughout the world. We are always trying to find ways to improve the quality of life of such patients and in doing so improving the productivity of the society and reducing the health costs. The author is trying to contribute to this by conducting this study.</p> <p>Yes, the title seems suitable. Although mentioned in the 'conclusion' part, I would also like to see few words about chronic rhinosinusitis in the 'background' part of abstract.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Although some references are recent, but 13 out of 18 references (72%) are more than 10 years old and 11 (61%) are 15 years or older.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Although mentioned in the 'conclusion' part, I would also like to see few words about chronic rhinosinusitis in the 'background' part of abstract.</li> <li>In Introduction section, there should be a mention regarding the aim of the study.</li> <li>In Introduction section line 9, "significant symptoms" probably should be written a "major symptoms" because same has been mentioned later in "inclusion criteria" of the Methodology &amp; materials section also.</li> <li>In Methodology &amp; materials, line 5 there is mention of a questionnaire. There is not much of detail about that. Was it SNOT22 or was it purposely-designed? A graphic about that would be better.</li> <li>In the "results" section the use of saline nasal irrigation (SNI) has been categorized as either 'occasional use' or 'strict use'. In my opinion, this should be quantified to make it more objective (for example, 1-2 times a day or used 8-10 times a week etc).</li> <li>Regarding the calculation of statistics, only 46% improved for a p value of &lt;0.05. Is this statistically significant in favor or against the use of SNI?</li> <li>Finally in the "conclusions and recommendations section", there seems to be a fallacy! The whole study until that point has been about chronic rhinosinusitis (CRS) but in this section, it is all about "AR" probably referring to allergic rhinitis. This needs to be corrected. Hopefully, it was not copy-pasted.</li> <li>Minor mistakes: Abbreviation "SNI" in line 9 of abstract was not elaborated earlier (should be elaborated in line 5. Another one "PNS" in line 6 of Introduction has to be elaborated. Duplication of "irrigation"s" in line 2 of "discussion".</li> </ol>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Mohiyuddin Ali</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>NMC Specialty Hospital, Pakistan</b>