

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98608
Title of the Manuscript:	Research on the Innovation of Civic Education of Introduction to Artificial Intelligence Course in the Context of First-class Major Construction
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, it is important for the scientific community. 2. Yes, it is suitable 3. Yes, comprehensive. 4. Recommended to include "Literature review" and discuss about all the previous research and its limitations. 5. Mostly correct. 6. Yes, its sufficient. Suggested to cite all the 20 references inside the article content. It's not cited.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Suggested to include the formulas for all calculations. 1. Recommended to keep indentation at the beginning of every paragraph. 2. Suggested to include future recommendations to support the future researchers after the Conclusion part.	
Optional/General comments	Appreciated the authors for their innovative idea and preparation of this article. I believe that this article can be published after updating the above mentioned compulsory / Minor recommendations.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rajasekaran S
Department, University & Country	University of Technology and Applied Sciences, Oman