

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJCRMH_95905</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Pulse oximeter-facilitated arterial cannulation in pediatric cardiac surgery</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Letter to the Editor</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajcrmh.com/index.php/AJCRMH/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes</p> <p>3. No. It should briefly include objective, background, and conclusion.</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. No. It needs some included corrections.</p> <p>6. No. Despite the references are sufficient but not all recent (Reference No. 5 and 7).</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. Please, correct the "Keywords" to be; artery cannulation, pulse oximeter, pediatrics</p> <p>2. Grammar editing and correction of some mistakes are compulsory.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1. Please, transfer this sentence "Arterial cannulation in pediatrics is a daily challenge for anesthesiologists, especially in cardiac surgery" at the start of the text or literature of "<b>Dear Editor</b>".</p> <p>2. Regarding the text or literature of "<b>Dear Editor</b>", it is preferable to be 400 words or fewer in the word count.</p> <p>3. <b>Letters to the Editor</b> regarding the <b>relevant publicized article</b> should be submitted with the original publication <b>if it is based on this type of letter!</b> The letter should cite the original publication. This is for the critique should be made in a point-by-point fashion, concerning specific claims or methodological features of the article in question, and with compelling evidence to support any agreements or disagreements.</p> <p>4. The body of a letter is generally divided into three sub-sections, namely- Introduction, Content, and Conclusion.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yasser Mohammed Hassanain Elsayed
Department, University & Country	Egypt