

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Bioresource Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJB2T_98886
Title of the Manuscript:	DNA Barcoding of Selected Freshwater Fishes in New Calabar River, Rivers State Nigeria
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes, the manuscript is essential for the scientific community. It presented the development of species identification by using the most advance technique-DNA barcoding. This has been utilized for exact species gene sequencing methods. Yes the title is good for the paper.</p> <p>I found the abstract is well-written and presented. It highlighted the results of the study.</p> <p>The subsection is quite good, however, the methods part was commented see "the review" button to see the reviewer's comment.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct based on the data presented.</p> <p>This part should be based on the journal required format.</p> <p>As a reviewer the paper is suitable for acceptance for publication. Just follow the reviewers minimal comments.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
Optional/General comments	NOne	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cresencio C Cabuga Jr
Department, University & Country	Del Pilar National High School, Philippines