

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JAMPS_97805</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>THE EFFECT OF HEAVY METAL CONTAMINANTS IN SOME HERBAL COSMETICS ON LIPID PROFILE AND CARDIOVASCULAR RISK INDICES OF RABBITS.</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. In this manuscript, the authors investigated the effects of heavy metals present in herbal cosmetics on the serum lipid profile and cardiovascular risk indices of rabbits. A significant increase in total cholesterol, triglycerides, and low-density lipoprotein levels was observed after exposure to cosmetics for 60 days and 90 days. A decrease in high-density lipoprotein cholesterol was also found. Overall, this is an interesting topic for both the scientific community and society. 2. Yes 3. Yes 4. Yes 5. Yes. Please see comments below. 6. Yes. Please see comments below.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	1. A control group of placebo-exposed rabbits should be included. 2. Authors must include the approval obtained to conduct animal studies. 3. Authors should add some images or graphic data to capture readers' attention. 4. Authors should add a few recent references on the subject.	

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</b>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Eduardo Burgarelli Lages</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil</b>