

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97961
Title of the Manuscript:	QUALITY OF LIFE AND FATIGUE SYMPTOMS OF POST-COVID-19 PATIENTS IN PARAÍSO DO TOCANTINS
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes. It addresses the issue of post-COVID or long COVID phenomena commonly observed in most affected countries. In particular, it touches the topic of fatigue symptoms which are not often raised as post COVID problem.</p> <p>Acceptable, but not perfect. Not many people know about Paraíso Do Tocantins. The word "Brazil" should be added to the end of the title.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes. Some addition references are suggested (see the attached manuscript).</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English quality is the main weakness of the manuscript. Many suggestions are provided (see the attached manuscript).	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Wiwat Rojanapithayakorn
Department, University & Country	ASEAN Institute of Health Development, Mahidol University, Thailand