

Original Research Article

**Effect of micronutrients on growth and flowering of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.)
under subtropics of Jammu**

ABSTRACT

An open field experiment was conducted during 2019-20 at the Experimental Farm, Division of Vegetable Science & Floriculture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu, to evaluate the effect of micronutrients on growth, flowering and yield of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) cv. 'Nova Lux'. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with three replications and nineteen treatments. The different micronutrient like Fe, Zn and Mn was taken in different concentrations along with control. The results revealed that maximum plant height (97.74 cm), number of leaves per plant (9.04), leaf length (74.36 cm) and leaf area index (4.14) was recorded with the application of ZnSO₄ at 0.2 % and FeSO₄ at 0.2 %. Further, among the flowering traits, minimum duration for days taken for 1st floret opening, the maximum spike length (81.86 cm), rachis length (56.76 cm), number of florets per spike 917.69) and weight of the spike (74.78 g) was recorded with the application of ZnSO₄ at 0.2 % and FeSO₄ at 0.2 %. Thus, foliar application of micronutrients *i.e.* ZnSO₄ at 0.2 % and FeSO₄ at 0.2 % proved to be most effective in increasing the growth, flowering and corm production in gladiolus.

Formatted: Font: Italic

Keywords: Micronutrients, Gladiolus, Iron, zinc, manganese and Corm production

INTRODUCTION:

Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) is a bulbous ornamental plant, which belongs to family *Iridiaceae*. It is popularly known as Queen of bulbous flower because of attractive spike, having florets of huge forms, dazzling ~~colours~~ colors and longer keeping quality (Luo *et al.*, 2012). Gladiolus is one of the most important bulbous flowering crops grown commercially for cut-flower trade in India. To catch the early and late demands in the cut flower market besides increasing the production of corms and cormel production of gladiolus, the micronutrients play crucial and vital role in gladiolus (Bhattacharjee *et al.*, 1984). The quality production is the

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

need for standard agricultural and nutrient management practices. The deficiencies of micronutrients create various abnormalities like chlorosis, rosetting and scorching etc. Soil applied micronutrients have low efficiency (3 – 5 %) of absorption to the crop, whereas foliar applied micronutrients have an efficiency of 20 – 40 % (**Edward, 2009**). Micronutrients application play important role in growth and development of plants, due to their stimulatory and catalytic effects on metabolic processes the flower yield (**Lahijie, 2012**) and quality (**Khosa et al., 2011**) will be enhanced.

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

The micronutrient iron is involved in chlorophyll, protein synthesis and in root tip meristem which affects plants growth. Iron being associated with chlorophyll formation, activity of several enzyme systems and plant compounds (catalase and cytochrome-oxidase) causing shoot growth and helps improve the growth and flowering of gladiolus. Zinc is also important micronutrient which is necessary for sugar regulation and various enzymatic activity related to plant growth (**Khosa et al., 2011**). Manganese is essential for the development of chlorophyll, photosynthesis, respiration, assimilation of nitrates and the action of several enzymes. It is also involved in evolution of oxygen during photosynthesis. Iron deficiency impairs many plant physiological processes as it is involved in chlorophyll, protein synthesis and in root tip meristem growth. **Tagliavini and Rombola (2001)** illustrated that iron deficiency (chlorosis) is a common disorder which affects plants grown on soils of high pH. This may lead to serious yield and quality losses, demanding the implementation of suitable plant iron deficiency correction strategies. Thus, Iron micronutrient applied through foliar spray is a common practice to cure iron deficiency (**Mortvedt, 1991**).

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Formatted: Font: Bold

Owing to the beneficial nutritional help, micronutrients are rapidly gaining momentum among flower growers and guarantees better harvest and returns at the same time. Increased demand for flower production would require a detailed understanding of the relationship between micronutrients and crop growth. Keeping the above under consideration the study was planned to investigate the effect of different micronutrients on growth and flowering characters in gladiolus under sub-tropics of Jammu.

Materials and Method

A field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2018-19 at the Experimental Farm, Division of Vegetable Science & Floriculture, Sher-e-Kashmir University of Agricultural Sciences and Technology of Jammu. The experimental site is located at 32°40'N latitude and 74°58' E longitude at an elevation of 332 m above mean sea level falling in the sub-tropical foot hill lands of Shiwaliks in Jammu and Kashmir. The climate of this place is bestowed with hot and dry early summers followed by hot and humid monsoon season and cold winters. The soil at the experimental site was sandy loam in texture with 7.40 pH and low in organic carbon. The soil was low in available N, available P₂O₅ and medium in K₂O. It also contains 2.88 ppm Available available zinc, Available-available iron (10.17 ppm) and Available-available manganese (5.14 ppm).

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

The experiment was conducted in a randomized block design with 19 micro nutrient treatments *i.e.*, T₁- Control (Water spray), T₂- ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% , T₃-ZnSO₄ @ 0.4% ,T₄- FeSO₄ @ 0.2% ,T₅ -FeSO₄@ 0.4%, T₆- MnSO₄ @ 0.2% ,T₇- MnSO₄ @ 0.4% ,T₈- ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% + FeSO₄ @ 0.2%, T₉- ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% + FeSO₄ @ 0.4% ,T₁₀- ZnSO₄ @ 0.4% + FeSO₄ @ 0.2% ,T₁₁- ZnSO₄ @ 0.4% +FeSO₄ @ 0.4%, T₁₂- FeSO₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO₄ @ 0.2%, T₁₃- FeSO₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO₄ @ 0.4%, T₁₄- FeSO₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO₄ @ 0.2%, T₁₅- FeSO₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO₄ @ 0.4%, T₁₆- ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO₄ @ 0.2%, T₁₇- ZnSO₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO₄ @ 0.4%, T₁₈- ZnSO₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO₄@ 0.2% and T₁₉- ZnSO₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO₄ @ 0.4% which were replicated thrice. The Foliar spraying of micronutrients was done after sowing at 3rd and 6th leaf stage. The planting distance of 40 cm × 20 cm was maintained and the micronutrients like Fe, Zn and Mn given in the form of FeSO₄, ZnSO₄ .7H₂O and MnSO₄, respectively.

The experimental field was prepared to a fine tilth and beds of the required dimension were made according to the lay out plan. The corms of uniform size were selected and dipped in 0.2 % bavistinBavistin solution for 30 minutes before planting at a spacing of 40 x 20 cm, at a depth of 5 cm keeping the terminal buds upward followed by light irrigation to ensure the rapid sprouting of the corms. A basal dose of 100 g P₂O₅ per square meter was applied at the time of planting and nitrogen at 5 g per square meter was applied in two equal split doses each at 3rd leaf stage and 6th leaf stage. All other intercultural operations were carried out as and when required during the crop growth. No disease incidence was recorded during the experiment.

Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto, Superscript

Formatted: Font: Font color: Auto, Superscript

The data related to various growth and flowering parameters were collected from tagged five plants in a plot. The data were analyzed following the method described by **Gomez and Gomez (1984)**. Significant difference of sources of variation was tested at the probability level of 0.05. The standard error of the mean (SEM \pm) and the CD value were indicated in the tables to compare the difference between the mean values.

Formatted: Font: Bold, Font color: Auto

Results and discussion

Growth parameters

The data presented in Table 1 with respect to vegetative traits is measured morphologically in terms of plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, leaf width and leaf area index (LAI). Among the different growth parameters, Maximum height of the plant was reported by spraying the crop with ZnSO₄ at 0.2 % and FeSO₄ at 0.2 %. This may be due to fact that application of zinc spray plays ~~ana~~ role in tryptophan synthesis, which is an auxin precursor (IAA) and necessary for the metabolism of nitrogen that stimulates growth (**Naik et al., 2009**).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The maximum number of leaves per plant was observed by the application of ZnSO₄ with 0.2 % and FeSO₄ with 0.2%. Further, Foliar application of FeSO₄ (0.5 % or 1 %) and ZnSO₄ (0.5 % or 1 %) alone or in combinations significantly increased the number of leaves per plant at the 2nd and 6th leaf level in gladiolus. Similar results with respect to variation in number of leaves were reported by **Kumar and Arora (2000)** in ~~gladiolous~~ gladiolus; **Khalifa et al. (2011)** in iris and **Khosa et al. (2011)** in gerbera.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The data revealed that maximum leaf length and leaf width was recorded by spraying ZnSO₄ with 0.2% and FeSO₄ with 0.2% combination (Table 1). The increased vegetative growth with 0.4 % zinc and 0.4 % iron foliar spray may be due to the fact that zinc added at optimum concentrations is closely involved in the metabolism of RNA and ribosomal content in plant cells, contributing to the stimulation of carbohydrates, proteins and DNA formation and iron acts as an important catalyst during enzymatic reaction which ultimately promotes division and elongation of cells (**Reddy and Chaturvedi (2009)** and **Subbareddy et al. (2014)**) in gladiolus.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The leaf area index of the crop differed significantly among various treatments of

foliar spray. Maximum leaf area index was recorded with the application of ZnSO₄ at 0.2% and FeSO₄ at 0.2 % which was statistically at par with the application of FeSO₄ at 0.4 % and ZnSO₄ at 0.4 % The increase in leaf area index is due to the fact that many enzymes such as catalase, peroxidase, tryptophan synthase, carbonic dehydrogenase, eteetc. are activated by micronutrients, thereby controlling different metabolic and physiological activities. (Chopde *et al.*, (2015).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Flowering

The flowering traits was measured in terms of days taken for spike emergence, days taken for 1st floret opening, spike length, rachis length, number of florets per spike, diameter of the floret, weight of the spike and vase life.

The data presented in Table 2 revealed that foliar sprays of various micronutrients and their combinations influenced significantly on the days taken for spike emergence and 1st floret opening. Earlier spike emergence was recorded by foliar spray of ZnSO₄ at 0.4 % which was statistically at par with the application of ZnSO₄ at 0.4 % + FeSO₄ at 0.4 % and ZnSO₄ at 0.4 % + FeSO₄ at 0.2 %. The maximum number of days taken for 1st floret opening was observed in control. This variation may be due to the effect of zinc participation in plant hormone synthesis. In plants, optimal zinc supply exhibits sufficient hormonal levels (Cakmak, 2000), which induces early maturity and thus triggers early flowering (Kumar and Haripriya, 2010).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

This reference is not included in the list of references

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The data presented in Table 3 revealed that the application of ZnSO₄ with 0.2 percent and FeSO₄ with 0.2 percent resulted in maximum spike duration among the micronutrients used which was statistically at par with application of ZnSO₄ with 0.4 percent + FeSO₄ with 0.4 percent and 0.4 percent ZnSO₄ with 0.4 percent. The improvement in spike length due to optimum zinc supply level will increase the growth of the plant and require photosynthesis and increase the chlorophyll, protein content, thus improving the spike length in gladiolus gladiolus. (Ingle *et al.*, (2016) and Fahad *et al.*, (2014).

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The maximum rachis length was obtained in ZnSO₄ with 0.2 % and FeSO₄ with 0.2 % which was statistically at par with ZnSO₄ at 0.4 % with FeSO₄ at 0.4 % and ZnSO₄ with 0.4%. This

may be the attributing factor to the beneficial efficacy of the optimal dose of zinc and iron in improved photosynthetic and other cell division and elongation-related metabolic activities. Similar results were obtained by Chopde *et al.* (2015), and Devarakonda *et al.* (2017).

This reference is not included in the list of references

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

For the gladiolus cut flower market, the number of florets per spike is one of the most important characteristics, as it determines the beauty of the spike, longevity, and vase life of the spike. The foliar spray of ZnSO₄ at 0.2 % with FeSO₄ at 0.2 % recorded maximum number of florets per spike (Table 3). Improvement of growth characteristics due to the application of micronutrients could essentially be due to enriched photosynthetic and other metabolic activities that could eventually increase gladiolus flower yield. Similar results were reported by Chopde *et al.* (2015), and Lahijie (2012) in gladiolus.

Formatted: Font: (Default) Times New Roman, Bold, Font color: Auto

The data with respect to the diameter of the florets was found ~~non-significant~~ non-significant although application of various treatments has improved overall flowering traits. For a cut flower variety, application of ZnSO₄ at 0.2% with FeSO₄ at 0.2% recorded maximum weight of the spike. Difference with respect to weight of the spike may be associated with the spike and rachis length. Micronutrients play important role during storage of carbohydrates and in overall metabolic function of the plant which may improve the weight of spike.

Vase life of cut flowers has recognized as important post harvest factor for their beautiful display over a period of time. From the result study it was observed that maximum vase life was reported by foliar spray of ZnSO₄ at 0.4% + FeSO₄ at 0.4 % which was statistically at par with ZnSO₄ at 0.4% + FeSO₄ at 0.2%, ZnSO₄ at 0.2% + FeSO₄ at 0.2% and ZnSO₄ at 0.4%. This may be due to application of micronutrients could therefore regulate anti-oxidative activity in plants under stress as an activator of certain enzymes. The role micronutrients in the synthesis of protein and starch and therefore involves in the accumulation of amino acids and the reduction of sugar in plant tissue that could have enhanced bloom life.

Conclusion

Based on the result of the present study it is concluded that foliar application

Formatted: Indent: First line: 0.5"

of ZnSO₄ with 0.2 % and FeSO₄ with 0.2 % significantly increased plant height, number of leaves per plant, leaf length, leaf area index, minimum duration for days taken for 1st floret opening, spike length, rachis length and number of florets per spike. Thus, application of ZnSO₄ with 0.2 % and FeSO₄ with 0.2 % will enhance the growth and flowering in Gladiolus.

References

- Bhattacharjee, S.K. 1984. The effect of growth regulating chemicals on gladiolus. *Gartenbau Wissenschaft*, **49**(3):103-106.
- Cakmak, I. 2000. Possible roles of zinc in protecting plant cells from damage by reactive oxygen species. *New Phytol*, **146**: 185–205
- Chopde, N., Nehare, N., Maske, S. R., Lokhande, S. and Bhute, P., N. 2015. Effect of foliar application of zinc and iron on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. *Plant Archives*, **15**(1): 417-419.
- Fahad, S., Ahmad, K.M., Anjum, M.A. and Hussain, S. 2014. The effect of micronutrient (B, Zn and Fe) foliar application on the growth, flowering and corm production of gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus* L.) in calcareous soils. *Journal of Agriculture Science and Technology*, **16**: 1671-1682.
- Ingle, S. N., Kuchanwar, O. D., Shirsat, P. R., Zalte, S. G. and Patangray, A. J. 2016. Effect of foliar application of zinc and iron on growth, yield and quality of gladiolus. *Plant Archives*, **16**(1): 387-389.
- Khalifa, R. K. M., Shaaban, S. H. A. and Rawia, A. 2011. Effect of foliar application of zinc sulfate and boric acid on growth, yield and chemical constituents of iris plants. *Ozean Journal of Applied Sciences*, **4**(2): 129-144.
- Khosa, S., A., Younis, A., Rayit, S., Yasmeen. and Riaz, A. 2011. Effect of Foliar Application of Macro and Micro Nutrients on Growth and Flowering of *Gerbera jamesonii* L. cv. Amer Euras. *Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences*, **11**: 736-757.
- Kumar, P. and Arora, J.S. 2000. Effect of micronutrients on gladiolus. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **3**(2): 91-93.

Formatted: Body Text, Indent: Left: 0", Right: 0.18", Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Formatted: Justified

Kumar, P., Singh, D. and Kumar, S. 2010. Effect of pre harvest micronutrient foliar spray on growth, flowering and seed production in marigold. *Progressive Agriculture*, **10**(1), 182-183.

This reference is not :[3MAAM]Comment found in the text

Lahijie, M. F. 2012. Application of micronutrients FeSO₄ and ZnSO₄ on the growth and development of Gladiolus variety "Oscar". *International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences*, **4**(11):718-720.

Edward, R. M. 2009. Importance of micronutrients in changing horticultural scenario in India. *Journal of Horticultural Science*, **4**(1): 1-27.

Luo, X., Yi, J., Zhong, X. H., Lian, Q. L., Khan, M. A., Cao, X., Li, X. X., Gao, M. W., Wu, J., Chen, J. and Yi, M. F. 2012. Cloning, characterization and expression analysis of key genes involved in ABA metabolism in Gladiolus cormels during storage. *Scientia horticulturae*, **143**: 115-121.

Mortvedt, J. J. 1991. Correcting iron deficiencies in annual and perennial plants: Present technologies and future prospects. *Plant and Soil*, **130**(1-2): 273-279.

Naik, D. V., Dhaduk, B. K., Jambhale, S. S. and Kapadia, D. B. 2009. Effect of different micronutrients in gladiolus cv. American Beauty. *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*, **12**(4): 274-277

Reddy, A. G. K. and Chaturvedi, O. P. 2009. Effect of zinc, calcium and boron on growth and flowering in gladiolus cv. Red Majesty. *Crop Research (Hisar)*, **38**: 135-137.

Subbareddy, G. V., Rao, M. B. N. and Chandrasekhar, R. 2014. Studies on the effect of foliar application of zinc on vegetative growth, flowering, corm and cormel production in gladiolus cv. White Prosperity. *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, **4**: 35-39.

Tagliavini, M., and Rombolà, A. D. 2001. Iron deficiency and chlorosis in orchard and vineyard ecosystems. *European Journal of Agronomy*, **15**(2): 71-92.

Table 1. Effect of micronutrient treatments on growth parameters in gladiolus

Treatments	Concentration of Micronutrients (mg/l)	Plant height (cm)	Number of leaves per plant	Leaf length (cm)	Leaf Width (cm)	Leaf Area Index (LAI)
T ₁	Control (Waterspray)	79.17	8.12	66.33	3.43	3.35
T ₂	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	93.61	8.57	69.77	3.88	3.72
T ₃	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	97.02	8.85	71.97	3.92	3.85
T ₄	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%	95.44	8.61	72.61	3.94	3.76
T ₅	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%	95.03	8.79	72.13	3.99	3.86
T ₆	MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	89.56	8.46	69.79	3.56	3.63
T ₇	MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	88.44	8.43	69.20	3.58	3.64
T ₈	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2% + FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%	97.74	9.04	74.36	3.91	4.14
T ₉	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2% + FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%	87.65	8.80	68.90	3.52	3.65
T ₁₀	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4% + FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%	86.74	8.44	67.87	3.55	3.56
T ₁₁	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4% + FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%	93.48	8.56	70.15	3.46	3.71
T ₁₂	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	86.44	8.35	67.49	3.26	3.55
T ₁₃	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2% + MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	83.44	8.31	68.84	3.39	3.52
T ₁₄	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	92.11	8.71	69.98	3.64	3.76
T ₁₅	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4% + MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	89.67	8.41	70.05	3.59	3.65

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

T ₁₆	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	82.56	8.33	66.27	3.42	3.51
T ₁₇	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	82.40	8.22	66.70	3.36	3.49
T ₁₈	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	79.37	8.18	66.34	3.66	3.43
T ₁₉	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	81.27	8.19	65.57	3.56	3.45
	S.Em±	0.95	0.18	1.43	0.16	0.10
	C.V	1.86	3.65	3.59	7.64	4.82

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Indent: Left: 0", Hanging: 0.59"

Table 2. Effect of micronutrient treatments on days taken for spike emergence and 1st floret opening in gladiolus

Treatments	Concentration of Micronutrients (mg/l)	Days taken for spike emergence (days)	Days taken for 1 st floret opening (days)
T ₁	Control(Waterspray)	71.64	89.79
T ₂	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%	65.22	84.86
T ₃	ZnSO ₄ @0.4%	61.35	84.45
T ₄	FeSO ₄ @0.2%	68.74	87.29
T ₅	FeSO ₄ @0.4%	64.92	85.25
T ₆	MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	66.49	86.52
T ₇	MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	67.53	86.70
T ₈	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%+ FeSO ₄ @0.2%	64.94	80.96
T ₉	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%+ FeSO ₄ @0.4%	68.11	87.21
T ₁₀	ZnSO ₄ @0.4%+ FeSO ₄ @0.2%	61.99	83.28
T ₁₁	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+FeSO ₄ @0.4%	61.71	82.70
T ₁₂	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @0.2%	69.49	87.59
T ₁₃	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @0.4%	69.77	88.23
T ₁₄	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%+ MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	65.74	85.67
T ₁₅	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @0.4%	65.82	86.24
T ₁₆	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	70.77	88.76
T ₁₇	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	69.78	88.88

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

T ₁₈	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	70.93	88.51
T ₁₉	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	71.12	89.08
	S.Em±	1.18	1.31
	C.V	3.05	2.63

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt

Table 3. Effect of micronutrient treatments on flowering characteristics in gladiolus

Treatments	Concentration of Micronutrients (mg/l)	Spikelength(cm)	Rachislength(cm)	Number of florets per spike	Diameter of the florets(cm)	Weight of the spike(g)	Vaselife(days)
T ₁	Control(Water spray)	63.44	43.27	14.15	10.01	65.72	8.96
T ₂	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%	73.44	50.73	15.75	10.12	71.89	10.82
T ₃	ZnSO ₄ @0.4%	79.56	53.60	17.60	10.54	73.64	12.01
T ₄	FeSO ₄ @0.2%	76.89	51.40	15.63	10.30	73.18	11.28
T ₅	FeSO ₄ @0.4%	78.02	49.27	15.70	10.45	73.53	10.39
T ₆	MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	76.33	46.90	15.26	10.51	69.53	10.73
T ₇	MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	77.11	46.23	15.83	10.37	69.29	10.91
T ₈	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%+FeSO ₄ @0.2%	81.86	56.76	17.69	10.73	74.78	12.07
T ₉	ZnSO ₄ @0.2%+FeSO ₄ @0.4%	78.10	46.23	15.73	10.09	68.66	10.82
T ₁₀	ZnSO ₄ @0.4%+FeSO ₄ @0.2%	78.17	44.20	15.40	9.64	68.37	12.09
T ₁₁	ZnSO ₄ @0.4%+FeSO ₄ @0.4%	80.78	54.73	16.53	10.31	70.99	12.27

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted Table

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Formatted: Centered

Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

T12	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	66.11	44.20	15.15	10.25	68.15	11.02	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T13	FeSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	73.67	43.80	15.25	10.32	67.80	10.56	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T14	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%+ MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	74.22	48.70	15.19	10.16	70.56	10.03	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T15	FeSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	77.11	47.37	15.69	10.06	70.67	10.97	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T16	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	69.56	42.50	15.65	10.17	67.35	10.91	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T17	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.2%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	71.44	45.43	15.62	10.16	67.12	10.01	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T18	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.2%	71.89	43.43	15.62	10.19	66.27	9.28	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
T19	ZnSO ₄ @ 0.4%+MnSO ₄ @ 0.4%	74.89	44.03	14.42	10.33	66.79	9.89	Formatted: Centered Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single
	S.Em±	1.06	1.37	0.57	0.28	0 . 9 2	0.29	
	C.V	2.45	5.00	6.28	3.63	2.29	4.72	Formatted: Centered, Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

UNDER PEER REVIEW