

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Cardiology and Angiology: An International Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CA_98616
Title of the Manuscript:	A RARE CASE REPORT OF "TORSADE DE POINTS" INDUCED BY CIPROFLOXACIN-FLUCONAZOLE-LEVETIRACETAM COMBINED THERAPY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalca.com/index.php/CA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Manuscript written well but it needs to be more elaborative and still more evidences should be brought up in this article 2. Title of the article is quite suitable 3. Not much comprehensive 4. Still more to be structured 5. For scientifically it is good and needs more evidence based to be quoted 6. yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Add some evidence based numbers which are relevant to this articles	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sanath Kumar Gurram Krishnamurthy
Department, University & Country	District Tuberculosis Elimination Center, India