

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_98110
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRICAL, ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC AND CORONARY ARTERY CHARACTERISTICS OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR INFARCTION: A SERIES OF 120 CASES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The following points have to updated in the manuscript and then it is considered for publication in the Journal. It is based on the decision of the Editor. <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Till first page till the end how the values are calculated. 2. How the graphs are tabulated. 3. What is the novel algorithm developed in the study. 4. What is the software used in the study. 5. Where is the dataset. 6. Whether is primary or secondary data. 7. How the graphs are display on what formulae it is obtained. 8. At the end what do you want to conclude. 9. The manuscript is a data analysis paper. 	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	OK	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	N. R. Ananthanarayanan
Department, University & Country	SCSVMV, India