

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Cardiovascular Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCD_98110
Title of the Manuscript:	ELECTRICAL, ECHOCARDIOGRAPHIC AND CORONARY ARTERY CHARACTERISTICS OF RIGHT VENTRICULAR INFARCTION: A SERIES OF 120 CASES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijrc.com/index.php/AJRCD/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>SUGGESTIONS:</p> <p><i>Please, follow strictly all Editor's Norms!</i></p> <p>Yes! These serious '<i>Coronary Artery Problems</i> affect millions of people all over the world!</p> <p>Declare "... '<i>A SERIES OF 120 CASES</i>'..." as a <i>Subtitle</i> or inform this aspect in the '<i>Abstract</i>' or in the '<i>Materials and Methods</i>' subsection.</p> <p>Yes! – Please, observe the subtitles are underlined (- See Norms!). - Also, define all used abbreviations even in '<i>footnotes</i>'!</p> <p>Yes. Yes. The References are sufficient. – Nevertheless, observe the general Format, spaces between words, and the appropriate use of Capital letters.</p> <p><i>Observe: - Some 'Figures' present most definitions in French, instead of English idiom! --- See Editor Norms!</i> <i>-Several underlined Subtitles in the 'Abstract'. - Observe Editor Norms!</i></p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes! -Nevertheless, observe another language (French) on several Figures... {<i>Without a previous announcement!</i>} – Avoid this procedure.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p> <p>General comments // Suggestions.....</p>	<p>Avoid initiating a Paragraph with numeric information! - Example: "RESULTS: 120 patients were...".... - Or using an Abbreviation: - Example: "DISCUSSION:" / DV infarction is..... ■ Also, avoid the exorbitant number of abbreviations... Even without previous definitions**!.... - Review style, use pronouns, etc! --- **Suggestions: Define each abbreviation using footnotes! ... ----- Promote harmony among TEXT / TABLES / FIGURES!</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jurandyr Santos Nogueira
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic School, Federal University of Bahia, Brazil