

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Infectious Diseases
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRID_98613
Title of the Manuscript:	Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus among Female Patients attending Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH) in Port Harcourt, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b>  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? Yes</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable? Yes</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Yes</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Yes</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Yes</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this interesting study <b>"Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus among Female Patients attending Rivers State University Teaching Hospital (RSUTH) in Port Harcourt, Nigeria"</b> . However, I have some comments and recommendations: <b>Comments:</b> Title:- Is appropriate for the content of the article. Abstract:- Represents a suitable summary of the work. Article content:- The methods and results have been well explained. Conclusions:- Are justified on the basis of the study. <b>Recommendations:</b> Discussion:- Mention the limitations of the study. References:- Stick to the format of the journal. General:- Revise language, grammar and syntax.	
<b>Minor REVISION comments</b> 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b> <b>Yes</b>		
<b>Optional/General comments</b>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akmal El-Mazny
Department, University & Country	Cairo University, Egypt