

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Botany
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIB_98764
Title of the Manuscript:	Investigation of Physical and Chemical Soil properties for Two Selected Sites in Khartoum State (Umm Dom Island) and River Nile State (Al Oteib Area).Sudan
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajrib.com/index.php/AJRIB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	The study could reveal many important findings, but the way of presentation, and writing does not meet the requirements of a scientific manuscript. OK The abstract and manuscript language should be revised. Otherwise, this is just reporting the findings. Should be improved and could be expanded. Scientifically not correct. There are many flaws. References are not sufficient and recent. Though physical and chemical soil properties in two areas have been studied, there are many flaws in the methodology, and the style of writing is not followed the research paper format, no discussion, no conclusion, a number of references and the quality of references used cannot be accepted. The sampling frame, sample size, sample area map, location details, methods of sample collection, methods of soil properties analysis, and data analysis methods; are none of these mentioned in this manuscript. The introduction is weak and lacks information. Why this study is important, what is its significance, and how do authors distinguish this study from other studies?	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. Should be revised.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. D. K. L. Gunathilaka
Department, University & Country	University of Colombo, Sri Lanka

Review Form 1.7