

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_98880
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of time interval between the semen collection and its dilution on seminal attributes during semen cryopreservation in goats
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1.- The manuscript topic is important because the cryopreservation of goat semen is relevant in the reproduction of this specie, special in countries o regions where there are important goat populations. 2.- Yes 3.- Yes 4.- Yes 5.- Yes 6.- Are not sufficient The structure of the article is correct but is omitted a lot of relevant information.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is adequate	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	See the attachment	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>José Roberto Vazquez Avendaño</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidad Autónoma Metropolitana, México</b>