

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_98087
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF CANINE EHRLICHIOSIS IN KHARTOUM STATE, SUDAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript entitled "Investigation of canine ehrlichiosis in Khartoum state, Sudan" is written very casually and authors are claiming the first report. Its serological based study needs major revision in writing and presentation of data with suitable statistical analysis of the variable to assess the level of significance that is missing from the manuscript</p> <p>"Serological analysis of the canine ehrlichiosis in in Khartoum state, Sudan"</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>No</p> <p>Write as per the standard format of journal</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	Authors are suggested to rewrite the manuscript especially materials and method, rearrange the table in one for season variable, apply the suitable stat and then resubmit the manuscript for revaluation please.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> <p>Authors must mention the ethical issues in the manuscript.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paramjit Kaur
Department, University & Country	GADVASU, India