

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Animal and Veterinary Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAVS_98084
Title of the Manuscript:	INVESTIGATION OF CANINE PARVOVIRUS INFECTION IN KHARTOUM STATE, SUDAN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajravs.com/index.php/AJRAVS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>I think the manuscript highlights an important issue regarding canine health.</p> <p>I think the title should be "Incidence of canine Parvovirus infection in Khartoum state, Sudan"</p> <p>Yes, the abstract is clear & comprehensive.</p> <p>There are too many sub-headings in "Materials & Methods" & "Results". These should be reduced if not eliminated. Some sub-headings contain text in points instead of paragraphs. This should be corrected as well.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically sound.</p> <p>There are too many references (36). 25 references would be enough. Most references (27) are more than 5 years old. These need to be renewed. 50% references should be from the last 5 years. Only few references (9) are from last 5 years.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	There are various grammatical errors in the manuscript. These need to be corrected before the approval.	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Junaid Aslam
Department, University & Country	National University of Medical Sciences, Pakistan