

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_99077
Title of the Manuscript:	Incarcerated bladder hernia as a cause of septic shock: A clinical case report
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. Yes, it is. 2. Yes, it is. 3. Yes, it is. 4. Yes, they are. 5. Yes, it is, but it needs some corrections (attached document). Besides, introduction, case description and discussion are too long. AVOID SPECIFIC DATES IN ORDER TO IDENTIFY THE PATIENT 6. Yes, they are. I do not have suggestions. I provide the article (attached document) with all the corrections that are necessary.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is, but it requires some corrections (attached document).	
Optional/General comments	I provide the article (attached document) with all the corrections that are necessary. It is absolutely necessary to shorten the article (above all the case description).	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jose-Maria Sanchez-Merino
Department, University & Country	University Hospital A Coruña, Spain