

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Microbiology Research Journal International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_MRJI_97764
Title of the Manuscript:	In Vivo Antisalmonellal and Antioxidant Activity of 95% Hydroethanolic Extract of Bauhinia rufescens Leaves in Wistar Albino Rats Infected with Salmonella Typhi
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalmrji.com/index.php/MRJI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) yes 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) yes 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? yes 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? yes 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? yes 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. yes (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is organized and well-written. However, I have a suggestion for the author to consider: could the antioxidant activity of the extract be concluded to be dose dependent, considering that the results from each concentration were not significantly different? There are some typographical errors in the method section, which states that peroxidase activity and nitric oxide content were determined in tissue and plasma. However, only the tissue results were shown, not the plasma results. The word "plasma" should be removed from the text. There are also some typographical errors in the discussion section, such as "in vivo" (which should be italicised) and anti-salmon (should be anti-salmonella).	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? yes		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Worapan Sitthithaworn
Department, University & Country	Srinakharinwirot University, Thailand