

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Economics, Management and Trade |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JEMT_96114 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | FISCAL DECENTRALISATION AND SOCIAL SERVICE DELIVERY POLICY RESPONSE IN NIGERIA. |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | 1.write your abstract in past tense and not future tense 2.On abstract provide recommendation and result/finding 3. on literature review discuss theories of decentralization, you can refer to https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3903761 https://kellogg.nd.edu/sites/default/files/old_files/documents/314_0.pdf 4. literature review is too long reduce 5. Document is incomplete we are mssing a) Result b) conclusion | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | | |
| Optional/General comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Name: | N Mose |
| Department, University & Country | University of Eldoret, Kenya |