

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98574
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Study of the prevalence of syphilis among pregnant women and prospective blood donors in Ekiti State, South Western Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>The study provides important insights for public health interventions aimed at reducing its transmission.</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>The abstract is well structure and comprehensive</p> <p>Appropriate</p> <p>Suitable</p> <p>The more updated references required in introduction and discussion</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Need revision , language and grammar	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The study provides valuable information on the prevalence of syphilis among pregnant women and prospective blood donors in Ekiti State, South Western Nigeria. 2. The methodology used in the study is appropriate and well-described, which enhances the reliability of the findings. 3. The results of the study indicate that pregnant women have a higher prevalence of syphilis than prospective blood donors, which highlights the need for targeted interventions to reduce transmission to unborn children. 4. The study could have benefited from a larger sample size to increase the generalizability of the findings. 5. It would have been useful to include information on factors associated with syphilis infection among pregnant women and prospective blood donors in Ekiti State. 6. Overall, this study contributes to our understanding of the burden of syphilis in Ekiti State and provides important insights for public health interventions aimed at reducing its transmission. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elhadi Miskeen
Department, University & Country	University of Bisha, Saudi Arabia