

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98271
Title of the Manuscript:	Research of Natural Killer Cell (CD56+, CD16+, CD3-) and It's Activating Ligand (CD 112) in Acute Myeloid Leukemia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Scientifically the work is good</b>  <b>Ok</b>  <b>Requires few modifications</b>  <b>Sections are ok but the information is repeated in methodology . The methodology can be expressed in a better manner.</b>  <b>References and tables are to be properly represented in the text.</b>  <b>The references are not complete in their form</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	No. The paragraphs highlighted require rearrangement of sentences. The view of the author is not properly expressed. Referencing varied throughout the write up References list should be thoroughly verified by the author	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments 1. <b>Tests performed to diagnose AML</b> 2. <b>Specific laboratory tests</b>	Incomplete sentences. The procedures mentioned are vague and require clarity. Verify the framing of sentences	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Jayaprada Rao Chunduri
Department, University & Country	SVKM'S Mithibai College, India