

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Microbiology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMB_97631
Title of the Manuscript:	ISOLATION AND MOLECULAR IDENTIFICATION OF ENTEROBACTER CLOACAE FROM URINARY TRACT INFECTION AMONG PREGNANT WOMEN IN BIU, NORTH EASTERN NIGERIA, BORNO STATE.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamb.com/index.php/JAMB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript includes many contradicted results The results are not clearly defined The title is not representative for the work done. Results are not clearly stated or correlated correctly Abstract need revise Methodology is very weak, details are given for the Gram staining, yet the sample handling is not clear and the methodology of isolation, identification is not correct. The media used for isolation is not correct, as the MacConKey agar is selective media for the Enterobacteriaceae, yet it was used for isolation of S.aureus and other G +ve bacteria which are completely inhibited on MacConKey agar. <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> is not expected to be isolated from urinary tract infection cases, it may cause pneumonia , bloodstream infections, wound or surgical site infections, and meningitis. Biochemical tests stated are missing essential tests as catalase and oxidase, etc. The results are not clearly stated or linked ages under test are 15 to 45 and many results are related only to age 15-20. Molecular diagnosis methodology is detailed in 3 pages which shall be revised thoroughly and need references. The figure is not clear at all referring to 1 sample from Gram stain, 1 sample from wet preparation &1 from culturing???? References are not revised as the manuscript is rejected based on the scientific major issues (mentioned above)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) Samples are collected from females' vaginal swabs (15-45 years), Ethical committee approval is not clearly defined.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sherein Ismail Abd El-Moez
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt