

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98963
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and compatibility of suitable natural enemies against Earias vittella and Helicoverpa armigera in Bhendi ecosystem
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. The manuscript is important for scientific community. This manuscript shows natural enemies against Earias vittella and Helicoverpa armigera in Bhendi ecosystem. it used to identify and compatibility of parasitoids against bhendi fruit borers in the bhendi field.The title of the article is suitable. 2. The title of the article is suitable. 3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive; but should be written in one paragraph 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate. 5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct 6. Recent references need to be added (last 5 years as many as 5-10 journals)	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Writing literature sources needs to be made uniform and needs to be written in good language.	
Optional/General comments	1. Abstract make one paragraph 2. Writing literature sources needs to be made uniform 3. It needs to be written in good language 4. Add a conclusion 5. Recent references need to be added (last 5 years as many as 5-10 journals)	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Warji
Department, University & Country	Universitas Lampung, Indonesia