

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98963
Title of the Manuscript:	Identification and compatibility of suitable natural enemies against Earias vittella and Helicoverpa armigera in Bhendi ecosystem
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes, It is need of the days, but unfortunately the title and material presented won't match. Needs suitable adjustments to match them together to present the findings.</p> <p>Mixed release of stage specific parasitoids to increase pest management efficacy.</p> <p>As mentioned above there is a mismatch with title and contents.</p> <p>NA</p> <p>Needs a minor revision with title to suit contents or a major operation with revision, addition of lot of contents to suit the present title.</p> <p>Needs to be updated with more relevant references. Make a pooled analysis of the two trials.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Lot of scope for improvement.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ramegowda, G. K.
Department, University & Country	University of Horticultural Sciences, India