

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98954
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF BORON ZINC AND IRON ON GROWTH AND YIELD OF SUMMER MAIZE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes it is quite important for the agro-industry and economy</p> <p>Yes it is suitable</p> <p>Yes comprehensive</p> <p>Methodology part can be a little elaborative with the sampling size of the crop and in result section statistical interpretation, figures, models, graphs are missing out</p> <p>Yes, appropriate but needs to be more elaborative in the conclusion section with a proper management action plan in lieu of the conducted research</p> <p>Additional references has to be incorporated in the introduction and discussion sections</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Some grammatical mistakes are there which can be corrected once more. Although some corrections are highlighted in the manuscript in yellow	
Optional/General comments	Overall new research	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sufia Zaman
Department, University & Country	Techno India University, India