

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_98559
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Amino Acids and Yield Components on Certain Promising Varieties of Chickpea ( <i>Cicer arietinum</i> L.).
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes, however a lot more work needs to be done. <b>Yes</b>  <b>No</b>  <b>Yes</b> <b>Yes, but with a few corrections.</b>  <b>No</b> <b>Additional comments</b> 1. In introduction, authors should not mix up pulses and legumes 2. Moisture was done on mustard seeds not chicken peas. 3. The methodology section must be rewritten using past tense. 4. Moisture determination at 80 deg C sounds abit wired since water boils at 100 deg C. Also the % moisture is calculated at constant weight. 5. A picture of the chickpea would be appropriate. 6. A full proximate analysis would enrich the work 7. Calibration curves must be included.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes but with some few corrections such as capitalizing words in the middle of sentences	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Selina Ama Saah
Department, University & Country	University of Energy and Natural Resources, Ghana